AHA FOCUSED UPDATE

2019 American Heart Association Focused Update on Pediatric Basic Life Support

An Update to the American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care

ABSTRACT: This 2019 focused update to the American Heart Association pediatric basic life support guidelines follows the 2019 systematic review of the effects of dispatcher-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation (DA-CPR) on survival of infants and children with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. This systematic review and the primary studies identified were analyzed by the Pediatric Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation. It aligns with the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation's continuous evidence review process, with updates published when the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation completes a literature review based on new published evidence. This update summarizes the available pediatric evidence supporting DA-CPR and provides treatment recommendations for DA-CPR for pediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. Four new pediatric studies were reviewed. A systematic review of this data identified the association of a significant improvement in the rates of bystander CPR and in survival 1 month after cardiac arrest with DA-CPR. The writing group recommends that emergency medical dispatch centers offer DA-CPR for presumed pediatric cardiac arrest, especially when no bystander CPR is in progress. No recommendation could be made for or against DA-CPR instructions when bystander CPR is already in progress.

his 2019 focused update to the American Heart Association (AHA) pediatric basic life support (PBLS) guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and emergency cardiovascular care is based on the systematic review of dispatcher instruction in CPR (pediatrics)¹ and the resulting Consensus on Science With Treatment Recommendations (CoSTR) from the Pediatric Task Force of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation (ILCOR). A draft pediatric CoSTR was posted online for public comment,² and a summary document containing the final CoSTR wording has been published simultaneously with this document.³

AHA guidelines and focused updates are developed in concert with ILCOR's systematic review process. In 2015, the ILCOR evidence evaluation process and the AHA development of guidelines and focused updates transitioned to a continuous, simultaneous process, with systematic reviews performed as new published evidence warrants or when the ILCOR Pediatric Task Force prioritizes a topic. The AHA science experts review new evidence and update the AHA PBLS guidelines as needed, typically on an annual basis. A description of the evidence review process is available in the "2017 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations Summary."⁴

Jonathan P. Duff, MD, MEd, Chair Alexis A. Topjian, MD, **MSCE, FAHA** Marc D. Berg, MD Melissa Chan, MD Sarah E. Haskell, DO Benny L. Joyner Jr, MD, MPH Javier J. Lasa, MD S. Jilf Lev, RN, MS, CNS Tia T. Raymond, MD, **FAHA Robert Michael Sutton,** MD, MSCE Mary Fran Hazinski, RN, MSN, FAHA Dianne L. Atkins, MD, FAHA

Key Words: AHA Scientific Statements cardiopulmonary resuscitation children = emergency medical dispatcher = heart arrest = pediatrics

© 2019 American Heart Association, Inc.

https://www.ahajournals.org/journal/circ

CLINICAL STATEMENTS AND GUIDELINES

CLASS (STRENGTH) OF RECOMMENDATION		LEVEL (QUALITY) OF EVIDENCE‡				
CLASS 1 (STRONG)	Benefit >>> Risk	LEVEL A				
Suggested phrases for writing recommendation Is recommended Is indicated/useful/effective/beneficial Should be performed/administered/other	15:	 High-quality evidence‡ from m Meta-analyses of high-quality l One or more RCTs corroborated 	RCTs			
Comparative-Effectiveness Phrases†: — Treatment/strategy A is recommended/indic	ated in proference to	LEVEL B-R	(Randomize			
 Treatment B Treatment A should be chosen over treatment 		 Moderate-quality evidence‡ from Meta-analyses of moderate-quality 				
CLASS 2a (MODERATE)	Benefit >> Risk	LEVEL B-NR	(Nonrandomize			
Suggested phrases for writing recommendation Is reasonable Can be useful/effective/beneficial Comparative-Effectiveness Phrases†: — Treatment/strategy A is probably recommen			om 1 or more well-designed, well- es, observational studies, or registry			
preference to treatment B – It is reasonable to choose treatment A over		LEVEL C-LD	(Limited Dat			
CLASS 2b (WEAK) Suggested phrases for writing recommendation • May/might be reasonable	Benefit ≥ Risk	 Randomized or nonrandomized limitations of design or executi Meta-analyses of such studies Physiological or mechanistic st 				
 May/might be considered Usefulness/effectiveness is unknown/unclear/u 	incertain or not well.	LEVEL C-EO	(Expert Opinio			
established	incertain of not weil-	Consensus of expert opinion ba	ased on clinical experience			
CLASS 3: No Benefit (MODERATE)	Benefit = Risk	COR and LOE are determined independen	tly (any COR may be paired with any LOE).			
(Generally, LOE A or B use only) Suggested phrases for writing recommendation Is not recommended 	15:	A recommendation with LOE C does not in important clinical questions addressed in trials. Although RCTs are unavailable, ther particular test or therapy is useful or effec	guidelines do not lend themselves to clinic e may be a very clear clinical consensus t			
 Is not indicated/useful/effective/beneficial Should not be performed/administered/other 		* The outcome or result of the intervention should be specified (an improved clir outcome or increased diagnostic accuracy or incremental prognostic information				
Class 3: Harm (STRONG)	Risk > Benefit	+ For comparative-effectiveness recommendation studies that support the use of compared of the treatments or strategies being effective strategies and the strategies being effective strategies and the strategies and the strategies and the strategies are strategies and the strategies are strategies and the strategies are strategies	rator verbs should involve direct compar			
Suggested phrases for writing recommendation Potentially harmful Causes harm	15:	‡ The method of assessing quality is ev	olving, including the application of stan- validated evidence grading tools; and fo			
 Associated with excess morbidity/mortality Should not be performed/administered/other 			EO, expert opinion; LD, limited data; LOE,			

The ILCOR systematic review process uses the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation methodology and its associated nomenclature to determine strength of recommendation and certainty of evidence for the CoSTR. The expert writing group for this 2019 PBLS focused update reviewed the studies and analysis of the 2019 ILCOR CoSTR summary^{1,3} and carefully considered the ILCOR Pediatric Task Force consensus recommendations in light of the structure and resources of the out-of-hospital and inhospital resuscitation systems and providers who use AHA guidelines. In addition, the writing group came to consensus regarding the Classes of Recommendation and Levels of Evidence according to the nomenclature developed by the American College of Cardiology/ AHA recommendations for developing clinical practice

guidelines (Table 1)⁵ by using the process detailed in the

"2015 AHA Guidelines Update for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care."6

update evaluates only the recommendations for the

use of dispatcher-assisted CPR (DA-CPR) in pediatric

out-of-hospital cardiac arrest (OHCA). All other rec-

ommendations and algorithms published in the 2017

focused update,⁷ "Part 11: Pediatric Basic Life Support

and Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Quality" of the

2015 guidelines update,⁸ and "Part 13: Pediatric Basic

Life Support" of the "2010 AHA Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascu-

lar Care"⁹ remain the official recommendations of the

It is important to note that this 2019 PBLS focused

CLINICAL STATEMENTS AND GUIDELINES

table 2. Summary of reduting Studies on DA-CFN								
Author	Country	Sample Size, n	Study Duration	Design	Primary Outcomes			
Goto et al, ¹¹ 2014	Japan	5009	January 2008–December 2010	Prospective cohort	Survival and favorable neurological outcome at 1 mo			
Akahane et al, ¹⁶ 2012	Japan	1780	January 2005–December 2008	Prospective cohort	Survival and favorable neurological outcome at 1 mo			
Chang et al, ¹³ 2018	Korea	1953	January 2012–December 2016	Cross-sectional	Survival and favorable neurological outcome at hospital discharge			
Lee et al, ¹⁸ 2019	Korea	1013	January 2012–December 2013	Cross-sectional	Survival and favorable neurological outcome at hospital discharge			
Ro et al, ¹⁷ 2016	Korea	1529	January 2012–December 2014	Cross-sectional	Survival and favorable neurological outcome at hospital discharge			

Table 2. Summary of Pediatric Studies on DA-CPR

DA-CPR indicates dispatch-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation.

Modified from Nikolaou et al¹ with permission. Copyright © 2019, Elsevier.

AHA Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science Subcommittee and writing groups.

DISPATCHER INSTRUCTION IN CPR

Effective bystander CPR is a key component of the chain of survival from OHCA.^{10,11} Unfortunately, rates of bystander CPR remain low for both adults¹² and children^{11–13} with OHCA. In adults with OHCA, the provision of CPR instructions by emergency dispatchers has been associated with increased rates of bystander CPR and improved patient outcomes.^{1,14,15} However, bystander CPR rates for pediatric OHCA remain low, even when DA-CPR is offered.^{16,17}

A variety of terms have been used to identify the personnel at an emergency telephone call center who are charged with answering the call, interacting with the caller, and assigning the needed care providers to the incident scene (traditionally called *dispatchers*). Terminology is similarly varied for the process the dispatcher uses to provide real-time CPR instructions to bystanders at the scene of an OHCA. In this PBLS focused update, to remain consistent with the ILCOR evidence review, the term *DA-CPR* will be used to describe such coaching, recognizing that other terms (such as *telecommunicator CPR* and *telephone CPR*) could be substituted.

Evidence Summary—Updated 2019

There has been no previous review focusing specifically on the effect of DA-CPR instructions for pediatric OHCA, although the 2017 PBLS focused update⁷ included registry data from systems that provided such instructions. The systematic review analyzed both adult and pediatric data (Table 2).¹ The ILCOR Pediatric Task Force and the AHA writing group reviewed the pediatric studies included in that systematic review that compared outcomes for patients who were offered DA-CPR.^{11,13,16,19} Patients in a study from Korea¹⁸ were not evaluated separately in the ILCOR review because they were included in another larger study from the same registry¹³ involving overlapping years; in addition, the smaller study¹⁸ did not compare patients offered DA-CPR with those not offered DA-CPR. Both adjusted and unadjusted study outcomes of the remaining studies were analyzed, with the caution that unadjusted outcomes could be confounded by several factors such as cause of arrest, location of arrest, changes in resuscitation guidelines over time, and differences in emergency medical services (EMS) protocols.¹

An observational study from the All-Japan Utstein Registry reported the association of DA-CPR with increased survival at 1 month in 1780 children with OHCA enrolled between January 2005 and December 2008.¹⁶ Results were adjusted for age, sex, bystander type, cause of cardiac arrest, and interval between the call to EMS and arrival. DA-CPR was offered in 28.4% of patients. Bystander CPR was performed for more than two-thirds (68.7%, 347 of 505) of patients when callers were offered DA-CPR but was performed for only 27.8% (354 of 1275) of patients when callers were not offered DA-CPR; thus, DA-CPR was associated with an almost 3-fold increase in the likelihood of bystander CPR, a known contributor to survival. DA-CPR offered by dispatchers was significantly associated with improved 1-month survival (odds ratio [OR], 1.46 [95% CI, 1.05-2.03]) but not with 1-month favorable neurological outcome.¹⁶

In a later study from the same All-Japan Utstein Registry, Goto and colleagues¹¹ examined the effect of DA-CPR on favorable 1-month neurological outcome and survival to 1 month in 5009 children with OHCA enrolled from 2008 through 2010. It is important to note that the patients with callers who were offered DA-CPR were younger (ie, infants) and more likely to have an unwitnessed arrest, a presumed cardiac cause of the arrest, and bystander CPR compared with those who were not offered DA-CPR. Outcomes were adjusted for age, sex, presumed cardiac cause, initial rhythm, witnessed versus nonwitnessed arrest, and call-to-response interval. Callers for 2698 patients (53.9%) were offered DA-CPR; of these, 2019 patients (74.8%) actually received bystander CPR. The bystander CPR consisted of chest compression– CLINICAL STATEMENTS AND GUIDELINES only CPR for 54.5% (1101 of 2019), conventional CPR for 42.3% (855 of 2019), and rescue breaths only for 3.0% (63 of 2019). Offered DA-CPR was significantly associated with 1-month survival (adjusted OR, 1.43 [95% CI, 1.14–1.79]) but not with 1-month favorable neurological outcome. The provision of bystander CPR, with or without dispatcher instruction, was associated with improved odds of survival and survival with favorable neurological outcomes compared with no bystander CPR.¹¹

The first of 2 Korean registry studies examined the association of bystander CPR, with and without dispatcher assistance, with survival to hospital discharge of children with OHCA between 2012 and 2014.¹⁷ Data were adjusted for age, sex, location, cause of the arrest, witnessed or unwitnessed arrest, initial rhythm, and EMS response interval. Of 1529 patients, 502 (32.8%) had DA-CPR, 264 (17.3%) had bystander CPR provided without dispatcher assistance, and 763 (49.9%) had no bystander CPR provided. After multivariable analysis, both DA-CPR (OR, 2.14 [95% CI, 1.01–4.58]) and unassisted bystander CPR (adjusted OR, 3.52 [95% CI, 1.56-7.92]) were associated with increased likelihood of favorable neurological outcome at hospital discharge compared with no bystander CPR. When analyzed by patient age, survival in children 9 to 18 years of age more than doubled if the child received bystander CPR with or without dispatcher assistance. Children between 1 and 8 years of age had improved outcomes with unassisted bystander CPR but not with DA-CPR. In infants (<12 months of age), there was no difference in outcome between the bystander CPR and no bystander CPR groups.¹⁷

In a more recent study (between 2012 and 2015) of 2020 children with OHCA from the same Korean database, Chang and colleagues¹³ examined the association of DA-CPR with survival to hospital discharge. They again noted the association of bystander CPR (versus no bystander CPR) with more than double the survival with favorable neurological function at hospital discharge, whether that bystander CPR was delivered with or without dispatcher assistance.

In the analysis of these 4 pediatric studies performed in the systematic review,¹ offering DA-CPR was not associated with significantly improved 1-month favorable neurological outcome but was associated with improved 1-month survival (OR, 1.46 [95% CI, 1.05-2.03]).11 DA-CPR was also associated with significantly increased likelihood of bystander CPR and shortened time from arrest to delivery of CPR. For those patients who actually received bystander CPR, DA-CPR was associated with improved survival with favorable neurological outcome at 1 month compared with no bystander CPR (adjusted OR, 1.81 [95% CI, 1.23-2.67]).11 However, as noted, patients in this large Japanese study who were offered DA-CPR were more likely to be infants, to have a presumed cardiac cause of arrest, and to have an unwitnessed arrest compared with those who were not offered DA-

CPR. It is notable that the outcome of patients who received bystander DA-CPR was associated with a lower likelihood of favorable neurological outcome at 1 month after arrest (OR, 0.57 [95%, CI, 0.39–0.84]) compared with patients who received unassisted bystander CPR.

2019 Recommendations—New

There is no previous recommendation on this topic.

- 1. We recommend that emergency medical dispatch centers offer DA-CPR instructions for presumed pediatric cardiac arrest (*Class 1*; *Level of Evidence C-LD*).
- 2. We recommend that emergency dispatchers provide CPR instructions for pediatric cardiac arrest when no bystander CPR is in progress (*Class 1; Level of Evidence C-LD*).

There is insufficient evidence to make a recommendation for or against DA-CPR instructions for pediatric cardiac arrest when bystander CPR is already in progress.

Discussion

In making these recommendations, the writing group considered a number of factors influencing potential effectiveness of DA-CPR and bystander actions. Although the level and quality of evidence for DA-CPR in pediatric OHCA are low, we agreed with the ILCOR Pediatric Task Force that the likelihood of benefit from DA-CPR clearly outweighs the risk. Higher 1-month postarrest survival is associated with offered DA-CPR compared with arrests when DA-CPR was not offered.¹¹ In addition, there is an association with increased likelihood of secondary outcomes such as likelihood of bystander CPR and reduced time to CPR among systems offering DA-CPR.¹ The key point of these studies is that DA-CPR is associated with increased survival and the likelihood of bystander CPR. Bystander CPR, with or without dispatcher assistance, was associated with improved survival with favorable neurological outcome at hospital discharge¹³ and at 1 month¹¹ compared with no CPR.

There is clear evidence that bystander CPR is an important positive prognostic factor in pediatric OHCA, and EMS systems that offer DA-CPR document higher bystander CPR rates. However, bystander CPR rates in pediatric OHCA, even with dispatcher assistance, remain low. More work needs to be done to improve bystander CPR rates for adults and children.^{20–22}

The available evidence does not clarify the effect of the provision of DA-CPR when bystander CPR is already in progress. As noted, there is some low-quality (ie, observational/registry rather than randomized) evidence of an association between offering DA-CPR when bystander CPR is already in progress and worse 1-month neurological outcomes in pediatric patients with cardiac arrest. More research is needed to identify the reasons for this finding. It is possible that most bystanders who begin CPR independently (ie, even before dispatcher instructions are offered) are trained and may be proficient in CPR, so the CPR provided may be of higher quality than that delivered by an untrained bystander after dispatcher instructions. The writing group weighed the association of potential harm (ie, worse 1-month neurological outcomes) with offering DA-CPR when bystander CPR was in progress, as well as the potential harm that could result by failing to offer DA-CPR when needed, and determined that there was insufficient evidence to support a recommendation at this time.

The writing group also recognizes that the data for this recommendation come from registry data from 2 very different EMS systems (Korea and Japan). Differences in how these EMS systems function may confound more global recommendations.

This review did not examine the content of the CPR instructions provided by dispatchers delivering DA-CPR. In the pediatric studies reviewed, the instructions provided by the dispatcher varied according to presumed bystander CPR skill level, cause of the arrest, and the patient's age. Only 1 study systematically examined the effects of the method of CPR suggested by dispatchers, with an improvement in favorable neurological outcome at 1 month associated with conventional CPR versus chest compression-only CPR.¹¹ Current AHA PBLS guidelines recommend that conventional CPR be provided for infants and children in cardiac arrest.7 The current guidelines also recommend that if rescuers are unable or unwilling to provide rescue breaths for pediatric arrest, then they should provide compression-only CPR. Given the importance of conventional CPR in pediatric cardiac arrest, more research is needed to determine the guality and content of dispatcher-assisted conventional

CPR and the outcomes of patients receiving dispatcherassisted conventional CPR compared with dispatcherassisted chest compression—only CPR. Finally, additional research is needed to determine if and when dispatchers should offer CPR instructions when bystander CPR is already in progress.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

The American Heart Association and the American Academy of Pediatrics make every effort to avoid any actual or potential conflicts of interest that may arise as a result of an outside relationship or a personal, professional, or business interest of a member of the writing panel. Specifically, all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit a Disclosure Questionnaire showing all such relationships that might be perceived as real or potential conflicts of interest.

This document was approved by the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee on July 19, 2019, and the American Heart Association Executive Committee on August 9, 2019.

The American Heart Association requests that this document be cited as follows: Duff JP, Topjian AA, Berg MD, Chan M, Haskell SE, Joyner BL Jr, Lasa JJ, Ley SJ, Raymond TT, Sutton RM, Hazinski MF, Atkins DL. 2019 American Heart Association focused update on pediatric basic life support: an update to the American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation*. 2019;140:etc. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000736.

This article has been reprinted in Pediatrics.

Copies: This document is available on the websites of the American Heart Association (professional.heart.org) and the American Academy of Pediatrics (https://www.aap.org). A copy of the document is available at https:// professional.heart.org/statements by using ethedrearSearch for Guidelines & Statements" or the "Browse by Topic" area: To purchase additional reprints, call 843-216-2533 or e-mail kelle.ramsay@wolterskluwer.com.

The expert peer review of AHA-commissioned documents (eg, scientific statements, clinical practice guidelines, systematic reviews) is conducted by the AHA Office of Science Operations. For more on AHA statements and guidelines development, visit https://professional.heart.org/statements. Select the "Guide-lines & Statements" drop-down menu, then click "Publication Development."

Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/ or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the American Heart Association. Instructions for obtaining permission are located at https://www.heart.org/permissions. A link to the "Copyright Permissions Request Form" appears in the second paragraph (https://www.heart. org/en/about-us/statements-and-policies/copyright-request-form).

Disclosures

Writing Group Disclosures

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/ Honoraria	Expert Witness	Ownership Interest	Consultant/ Advisory Board	Other
Jonathan P. Duff	University of Alberta and Stollery Children's Hospital (Canada)	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Dianne L. Atkins	University of Iowa	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Marc D. Berg	Stanford University	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Melissa Chan	BC Children's Hospital (Canada)	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Sarah E. Haskell	University of Iowa	NIH (K08 Career Development in Zebrafish Cardiac Development)*	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mary Fran Hazinski	Vanderbilt University School of Nursing	None	None	None	None	None	American Heart Association Emergency Cardiovascular Care Programs†	None

(Continued)

CLINICAL STATEMENTS

Writing Group Disclosures Continued

Writing Group Member	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/ Honoraria	Expert Witness	Ownership Interest	Consultant/ Advisory Board	Other
Benny L. Joyner Jr	University of North Carolina	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Javier J. Lasa	Texas Children's Hospital, Baylor College of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
S. Jill Ley	American Association of Critical Care Nurses	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Tia T. Raymond	Medical City Children's Hospital	NIH R01 (OPTI-VENT [Optimized and Personalized Ventilation to Improve Pediatric Cardiac Arrest Outcomes], Studies in Neonatal and Pediatric Resuscitation)*; NIH R03 (The Impact on Outcomes of Emergency Medications at the Bedside in Pediatric Cardiac Intensive Care Unit Patients)*	None	None	None	None	None	None
Robert Michael Sutton	The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine	NHLBI (PI on CPR Quality Improvement trial)*	None	None	Roberts and Durkee†; Lowis and Gellen*; Donahue, Durham, and Noonan*	None	None	None
Alexis A. Topjian	The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine	NIH (subaward)*	None	None	Plaintiff*	None	American Heart AssociatidNone	None

This table represents the relationships of writing group members that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all members of the writing group are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10,000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

*Modest. +Significant.

Reviewer Disclosures

Reviewer	Employment	Research Grant	Other Research Support	Speakers' Bureau/ Honoraria	Expert Witness	Ownership Interest	Consultant/ Advisory Board	Other
Jonathan Byrnes	Cincinnati Children's Hospital, University of Cincinnati	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Cameron Dezfulian	University of Pittsburgh	Mallinckrodt Pharmaceuticals (Pl on phase 2 RCT of iNO in adult OHCA; unrelated to this topic)*	None	None	None	None	None	None
Kelly Kadlec	Children's Hospital and Medical Center	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Alexandra Marquez	Children's Hospital of Philadelphia	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Tara Serwetnyk	University of Rochester	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of reviewers that may be perceived as actual or reasonably perceived conflicts of interest as reported on the Disclosure Questionnaire, which all reviewers are required to complete and submit. A relationship is considered to be "significant" if (a) the person receives \$10000 or more during any 12-month period, or 5% or more of the person's gross income; or (b) the person owns 5% or more of the voting stock or share of the entity, or owns \$10 000 or more of the fair market value of the entity. A relationship is considered to be "modest" if it is less than "significant" under the preceding definition.

*Significant.

REFERENCES

- Nikolaou N, Dainty KN, Couper K, Morley P, Tijssen J, Vaillancourt C; on behalf of the International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation's (ILCOR) Basic Life Support and Pediatric Task Forces. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the effect of dispatcher-assisted CPR on outcomes from sudden cardiac arrest in adults and children. *Resuscitation*. 2019;138:82– 105. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2019.02.035
- Tijssen JA, Aickin RP, Atkins D, Bingham R, Couto TB, de Caen AR, Guerguerian A-M, Hazinski MF, Meaney PA, Nadkarni VM, et al. Dispatcher instruction in CPR (pediatrics): Consensus on Science With Treatment Recommendations. February 25, 2019. https://costr.ilcor.org/document/ dispatcher-instruction-in-cpr-pediatrics. Accessed May 30, 2019.
- 3. Soar J, Maconochie I, Wyckoff MH, Olasveengen TM, Singletary EM, Greif R, Aickin R, Bhanji F, Donnino MW, Mancini ME, et al. 2019 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations: summary from the Basic Life Support; Advanced Life Support; Pediatric Life Support; Neonatal Life Support; Education, Implementation, and Teams; and First Aid Task Forces. *Circulation*. 2019;140:XXX–XXX. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000734
- Olasveengen TM, de Caen AR, Mancini ME, Maconochie IK, Aickin R, Atkins DL, Berg RA, Bingham RM, Brooks SC, Castrén M, et al; on behalf of the ILCOR Collaborators. 2017 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations summary. *Circulation*. 2017;136:e424– e440. doi: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000541
- Halperin JL, Levine GN, Al-Khatib SM, Birtcher KK, Bozkurt B, Brindis RG, Cigarroa JE, Curtis LH, Fleisher LA, Gentile F, et al. Further evolution of the ACC/AHA Clinical Practice Guideline Recommendation Classification System: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2016;133:1426–1428. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000312
- Morrison LJ, Gent LM, Lang E, Nunnally ME, Parker MJ, Callaway CW, Nadkarni VM, Fernandez AR, Billi JE, Egan JR, et al. Part 2: evidence evaluation and management of conflicts of interest: 2015 American Heart Association guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation*. 2015;132(suppl 2):S368–S382. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000253
- Atkins DL, de Caen AR, Berger S, Samson RA, Schexnayder SM, Joyner BL Jr, Bigham BL, Niles DE, Duff JP, Hunt EA, et al. 2017 American Heart Association focused update on pediatric basic life support and cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality: an update to the American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation*. 2018;137:e1–e6. doi: 10.1161/ CIR.000000000000540
- Atkins DL, Berger S, Duff JP, Gonzales JC, Hunt EA, Joyner BL, Meaney PA, Niles DE, Samson RA, Schexnayder SM. Part 11: pediatric basic life support and cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality: 2015 American Heart Association guidelines update for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation*. 2015;132(suppl 2):S519–S525. doi: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000265
- Berg MD, Schexnayder SM, Chameides L, Terry M, Donoghue A, Hickey RW, Berg RA, Sutton RM, Hazinski MF. Part 13: pediatric basic life support: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. Circula-

tion. 2010;122(suppl 3):S862–S875. doi: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA. 110.971085

- Sasson C, Rogers MA, Dahl J, Kellermann AL. Predictors of survival from out-of-hospital cardiac arrest: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2010;3:63–81. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.109.889576
- Goto Y, Maeda T, Goto Y. Impact of dispatcher-assisted bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation on neurological outcomes in children with out-of-hospital cardiac arrests: a prospective, nationwide, population-based cohort study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2014;3:e000499. doi: 10.1161/JAHA.113.000499
- Lerner EB, Sayre MR, Brice JH, White LJ, Santin AJ, Billittier AJ 4th, Cloud SD. Cardiac arrest patients rarely receive chest compressions before ambulance arrival despite the availability of pre-arrival CPR instructions. *Resuscitation*. 2008;77:51–56. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2007.10.020
- Chang I, Ro YS, Shin SD, Song KJ, Park JH, Kong SY. Association of dispatcher-assisted bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation with survival outcomes after pediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest by community property value. *Resuscitation*. 2018;132:120–126. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.09.008
- Song KJ, Shin SD, Park CB, Kim JY, Kim DK, Kim CH, Ha SY, Eng Hock Ong M, Bobrow BJ, McNally B. Dispatcher-assisted bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation in a metropolitan city: a before-after population-based study. *Resuscitation*. 2014;85:34–41. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2013.06.004
- Besnier E, Damm C, Jardel B, Veber B, Compere V, Dureuil B. Dispatcherassisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation protocol improves diagnosis and resuscitation recommendations for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Emerg Med Australas.* 2015;27:590–596. doi: 10.1111/1742-6723.12493
- Akahane M, Ogawa T, Tanabe S, Koike S, Horiguchi H, Yasunaga H, Imamura T. Impact of telephone dispatcher assistance on the outcomes of pediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Crit Care Med.* 2012;40:1410– 1416. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e31823e99ae
- Ro YS, Shin SD, Song KJ, Hong KJ, Aha KO, Kim DK, Kwak YH. Effects of dispatcher-assisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation on survival outcomes in infants, children, and adolescents with out-of-hospital cardiac arrests. *Resuscitation*. 2016;108:20–26. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2016.08.026
- Lee YJ, Song KJ, Shin SD, Lee SC, Lee EJ, Ro YS, Ahn KO. Dispatcherassisted cardiopulmonary resuscitation program and outcomes after pediatric out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Pediatr Emerg Care*. 2019;35:561– 567. doi: 10.1097/PEC.00000000001365

 Ro YS, Shin SD, Song KJ, Hong SO, Kim YT, Cho SI. Bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation training experience and self-efficacy of age and gender group: a nationwide community survey. *Am J Emerg Med.* 2016;34:1331–1337. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2015.12.001

- Bouland AJ, Halliday MH, Comer AC, Levy MJ, Seaman KG, Lawner BJ. Evaluating barriers to bystander CPR among laypersons before and after compression-only CPR training. *Prehosp Emerg Care.* 2017;21:662–669. doi: 10.1080/10903127.2017.1308605
- Case R, Cartledge S, Siedenburg J, Smith K, Straney L, Barger B, Finn J, Bray JE. Identifying barriers to the provision of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) in high-risk regions: a qualitative review of emergency calls. *Resuscitation*. 2018;129:43–47. doi: 10.1016/j. resuscitation.2018.06.001
- Mausz J, Snobelen P, Tavares W. "Please. Don't. Die.": a grounded theory study of bystander cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes.* 2018;11:e004035. doi: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.117.004035

CLINICAL STATEMENTS

AND GUIDELINES